EQUALEGUM
International Law Journal
E-ISSN 2986-0873

Original Article

LEGAL PROTECTION IN INDONESIA OF ONLINE RIDE-HAILING DRIVERS AND DIGITAL FREELANCERS IN THE GIG ECONOMY ERA

Indra Wijaya[1)]*, Thi Kim Nguyen[2)]

[1)]Faculty of Law, University of Surabaya, Indonesia
[2)]Faculty of Law, Ho Chi Minh City University of Law, Vietnam

*Corresponding Author, E-mail: indrawijay@ubaya.ac.id

Submitted: 6/6/2026, **Reviewed:** 8/6/2026, **Revised:** 22/6/2026, **Accepted:** 29/6/2026.

ABSTRACT

Background. Digital economy has fundamentally transformed labor relations worldwide. In Indonesia, digital platform-based work has become an increasingly important source of employment, particularly for online ride-hailing drivers, delivery couriers, and freelance digital workers. While digital platforms offer flexibility, they also generate significant legal challenges concerning employment status, social protection, occupational safety, income security, and collective labor rights. Most platform companies classify workers as independent partners rather than employees, thereby excluding them from labor protections traditionally afforded under employment law. This study examines the adequacy of Indonesia's legal framework in protecting digital platform workers and identifies regulatory gaps affecting labor rights in the gig economy.
Research Methods. The statutory approach examines relevant Indonesian legal instruments, including Law No. 13 of 2003 concerning Manpower, Law No. 6 of 2023 concerning Job Creation, Law No. 24 of 2011 concerning Social Security Administration, and relevant regulations governing transportation and digital platforms. The conceptual approach explores theories of labor protection, employment relationships, economic dependency, social justice, and algorithmic management. The comparative approach examines regulatory developments in jurisdictions that have introduced specific protections for platform workers.
Findings. Indonesia's existing labor law framework does not adequately accommodate platform-mediated work relationships characterized by algorithmic management and economic dependency. Consequently, many digital platform workers remain excluded from minimum wage protection, social security benefits, occupational safety guarantees, and collective bargaining mechanisms.
Conclusion. The establishment of a dedicated regulatory framework recognizing platform work as a distinct labor category while ensuring fundamental labor rights and social protection standards.

Keywords: Gig Economy, Platform Workers, Labor Protection, Digital Labor, Employment Status, Indonesia.

BACKGROUND

Digital transformation has reshaped labor markets across the world. The emergence of digital platforms has created new forms of work that challenge traditional legal concepts of employment. Through mobile applications and online platforms, millions of workers now provide transportation services, food delivery, logistics support, graphic design, software development, content creation, translation services, and various other forms of labor. This phenomenon, commonly referred to as the gig economy, represents one of the most significant structural changes in contemporary employment relations [1].

Indonesia has experienced substantial growth in platform-based employment over the last decade. Online ride-hailing services, such as motorcycle taxi platforms, have become integral components of urban transportation systems, while freelance digital platforms increasingly connect workers to domestic and international labor markets. The expansion of these platforms has generated economic opportunities for millions of Indonesians, particularly those facing barriers to formal employment. Flexible work arrangements allow workers to determine their working hours, choose assignments, and access income-generating opportunities with relatively low entry requirements [2].

Despite these advantages, platform-based employment has also exposed significant weaknesses in existing labor law frameworks. Most digital platforms classify workers as independent contractors or "partners" rather than employees. This classification enables platform companies to avoid obligations typically associated with employment relationships, including minimum wage requirements, social security contributions, occupational safety protections, paid leave, and collective bargaining rights. As a result, workers often bear the economic risks associated with fluctuating demand, algorithmic management systems, and unilateral platform decisions without enjoying corresponding legal protections [3].

The legal ambiguity surrounding platform work has become a global concern. Courts and policymakers in various jurisdictions have increasingly questioned whether platform workers should be treated as independent contractors or employees. The central issue is that although workers appear formally independent, many are subject to significant platform control through algorithms that determine task allocation, performance ratings, pricing mechanisms, and account suspension procedures. This phenomenon, often referred to as algorithmic management, creates forms of economic dependency that resemble traditional employment relationships [4].

In Indonesia, the regulatory framework has not evolved at the same pace as technological innovation. Existing labor laws were primarily designed to regulate conventional employer-employee relationships and do not explicitly address digital platform work. Consequently, many platform workers operate within a legal gray area where their rights and obligations remain uncertain. This situation raises important questions regarding legal protection, social justice, labor rights, and the role of the state in regulating emerging forms of work [5].

Existing studies have extensively examined the economic and technological dimensions of the gig economy. Several scholars have explored labor precarity, platform governance, and employment classification in digital labor markets [4,6]. In Indonesia, recent studies have highlighted the ambiguous legal status of platform workers and the inadequacy of existing labor regulations in addressing platform-mediated work relationships [2,3].

However, significant gaps remain within the current literature. Previous studies have generally focused on employment classification of platform workers, economic impacts of digital labor platforms, social protection deficits in gig work, and comparative legal studies involving foreign jurisdictions. Limited attention has been given to comprehensive legal analysis integrating labor law, digital governance, and constitutional labor rights. The legal implications of algorithmic management. The relationship between platform worker protection and international labor standards. Regulatory models suitable for Indonesia's socio-economic context.

This study contributes to the literature by 1)examining platform worker protection through an integrated labor law and digital governance perspective, 2)analyzing algorithmic control as a contemporary form of labor subordination, 3)evaluating the compatibility of Indonesian regulations with international labor standards, 4)proposing a hybrid regulatory model specifically designed for platform-based work relationships. This study therefore examines the legal protection available to digital platform workers in Indonesia, particularly online ride-hailing drivers and digital freelancers. It evaluates the adequacy of current labor regulations, analyzes emerging legal challenges associated with platform-mediated work, and proposes regulatory reforms aimed at strengthening worker protection while maintaining the flexibility and innovation associated with the digital economy.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a normative juridical approach, a method widely used in international legal scholarship to analyze legal norms, principles, doctrines, and regulatory frameworks governing a particular legal issue. The normative juridical approach is considered appropriate because this research seeks to examine the adequacy and effectiveness of Indonesia's legal framework in protecting digital platform workers, particularly online motorcycle taxi drivers and digital freelancers. Rather than relying on empirical field observations, this approach focuses on assessing existing legal norms and identifying regulatory gaps that may affect labor protection in the rapidly evolving platform economy.

The statutory approach is conducted through a systematic examination and interpretation of national legislation and regulatory instruments relevant to labor protection and digital platform work in Indonesia. The analysis focuses on identifying the extent to which existing labor regulations provide legal recognition and protection for platform workers. The principal legal instruments analyzed include: 1) Law No. 13 of 2003 concerning Manpower; 2) Law No. 6 of 2023 concerning the Stipulation of Government Regulation in Lieu of Law No. 2 of 2022 on Job Creation into Law; 3) Law No. 24 of 2011 concerning the Social Security Administration Agency (BPJS); 4) Law No. 20 of 2008 concerning Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises, where relevant; 5) Regulations concerning transportation services, digital platforms, and electronic transactions; Ministerial regulations governing app-based transportation services and labor welfare.

Through this statutory analysis, the study evaluates whether the existing legal framework adequately regulates employment relationships within digital labor platforms, particularly regarding employment status, wages, social security, occupational safety, dispute resolution, and collective rights. Special attention is given to the legal classification of platform workers, considering that many digital platforms characterize workers as "partners" rather than employees. This classification significantly affects workers' access to labor rights and social protection mechanisms.

The conceptual approach is employed to examine the theoretical foundations underlying labor protection in the platform economy. Given the emergence of non-standard forms of employment, traditional labor law concepts may no longer sufficiently address the realities of digitally mediated work. Several legal and socio-legal theories are therefore utilized, including: 1) Labor protection theory, which emphasizes the state's obligation to protect workers from economic exploitation and unequal bargaining positions; 2) Employment relationship theory, focusing on the essential elements of employment, including control, subordination, remuneration, and dependency; 3) Economic dependency theory, which examines situations in which workers, despite being formally classified as independent contractors, remain economically dependent on a single platform; 4) Social justice theory, particularly principles concerning fairness, distributive justice, and decent work; 5) Algorithmic management theory, which analyzes how digital platforms exercise managerial control through algorithms, ratings, incentives, and automated decision-making systems. The conceptual analysis enables the study to critically assess whether existing Indonesian labor laws remain relevant in addressing the distinctive characteristics of platform-based work.

Comparative Legal Approach considering the global nature of digital labor platforms, this study also employs a comparative legal approach. Comparative analysis is undertaken to identify international best practices and regulatory innovations concerning platform worker protection. The research examines legal developments in several jurisdictions that have adopted specific regulatory responses to the gig economy, including: 1) The United Kingdom, particularly judicial decisions concerning worker classification in app-based services; 2) Germany, which has expanded social protection mechanisms for non-standard workers; 3) Spain, notably the enactment of the Riders Law, which recognizes certain platform workers as employees; 4) The European Union, especially the proposed Directive on Improving Working Conditions in Platform Work, which introduces presumptions of employment and algorithmic transparency obligations.

Comparative legal analysis focuses on issues such as: worker classification models; social security coverage; collective bargaining rights; algorithmic transparency and accountability; occupational health and safety protections; mechanisms for dispute resolution. The comparative approach provides valuable insights into regulatory strategies that may inform future reforms of Indonesian labor law in the context of digital platform work.

This research relies exclusively on secondary legal materials. Primary legal materials consist of: national legislation; government regulations; ministerial regulations; judicial decisions; international legal instruments and policy documents. Secondary legal materials include: peer-reviewed international journal articles; academic books; legal commentaries; policy reports; publications issued by international organizations such as the International Labour Organization (ILO), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the European Commission. Scientific literature was obtained through comprehensive searches of reputable academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, ProQuest, and Google Scholar, to ensure that the analysis reflects contemporary scholarly discussions on labor regulation and digital platform governance.

All legal materials were analyzed qualitatively using a descriptive-analytical method. The analysis involved identifying relevant legal norms, interpreting statutory provisions, examining interrelationships among regulations, and evaluating their effectiveness in protecting platform workers. Furthermore, the study identifies legal inconsistencies, normative gaps, and regulatory challenges arising from the transformation of employment relationships in the digital economy. The findings are subsequently interpreted within broader frameworks of labor protection, social justice, and decent work principles to formulate recommendations for future legal reform. Overall, the normative juridical approach adopted in this research provides a comprehensive legal assessment of Indonesia's regulatory framework governing digital platform labor and enables a critical evaluation of whether existing labor laws are capable of ensuring adequate protection, legal certainty, and social justice for workers in the evolving digital economy [6].

FINDINGS

The Emergence of Platform Work and the Transformation of Employment Relationships

The development of digital labor platforms has fundamentally altered traditional employment relationships. Historically, labor law was built upon a relatively straightforward model involving an employer, an employee, and a clearly defined workplace. In this model, employers exercised direct supervision over workers, controlled working conditions, and assumed responsibility for wages, occupational safety, and social protection. Digital platforms, however, have disrupted these assumptions by introducing technologically mediated labor arrangements that blur the boundaries between employment and self-employment [4].

Platform companies generally present themselves as intermediaries connecting service providers with consumers rather than employers directing workers. Online ride-hailing drivers, food delivery couriers, and digital freelancers are therefore frequently classified as independent contractors or partners. At first glance, such arrangements appear to promote autonomy because workers may choose when and how they work. Nevertheless, empirical studies increasingly demonstrate that platform workers often experience substantial control through algorithmic systems that regulate access to work opportunities, determine compensation rates, evaluate performance, and impose disciplinary measures [7].

Algorithmic management has become one of the defining characteristics of platform labor. Unlike traditional managerial supervision, algorithmic management relies on digital technologies and automated decision-making processes. Workers are evaluated through ratings, acceptance rates, completion rates, customer feedback, and performance metrics generated by platform algorithms. These systems influence workers' access to future opportunities and may even result in account suspension or deactivation. Consequently, although platform workers are formally categorized as independent contractors, many operate under conditions resembling employment relationships characterized by dependency and subordination [8].

This transformation has significant legal implications because existing labor laws generally rely on traditional concepts of employment. When platform workers are formally classified as independent contractors, they may be excluded from labor protections despite experiencing forms of control comparable to those found in conventional employment. This mismatch between legal classification and economic reality creates a regulatory gap that increasingly challenges labor law systems around the world [6].

Employment Status and Legal Uncertainty in Indonesia

One of the most significant legal challenges facing digital platform workers in Indonesia concerns their employment status. Under existing labor legislation, labor protection is generally linked to the existence of an employment relationship characterized by work, wages, and employer authority. Platform companies frequently argue that their relationship with workers is based on partnership agreements rather than employment contracts. As a result, workers are excluded from many rights guaranteed under labor law [2,3].

The partnership model presents substantial legal difficulties because the actual relationship between workers and platforms often differs from the formal contractual language used by companies. While workers are theoretically free to choose their working hours, their income frequently depends on platform-generated assignments. Furthermore, pricing structures, service standards, customer interactions, and disciplinary measures are often determined unilaterally by platform operators. Such arrangements create significant economic dependency, raising questions about whether the partnership classification accurately reflects the substance of the relationship [1].

Recent legal scholarship argues that platform workers frequently satisfy the substantive elements of employment despite being formally designated as partners. Workers perform services, receive remuneration, and operate under systems of digital control that influence how work is performed. These characteristics suggest that conventional distinctions between employees and independent contractors may be insufficient for regulating platform labor [2].

The absence of clear legal recognition creates uncertainty not only for workers but also for platform companies and regulatory authorities. Without a definitive legal classification, disputes regarding wages, social security coverage, occupational accidents, and termination of platform access become difficult to resolve. Consequently, regulatory reform is increasingly viewed as necessary to provide greater legal certainty and ensure equitable labor protection [3].

Social Protection and Labor Rights Deficits

The uncertain legal status of platform workers has direct consequences for access to social protection. One of the primary objectives of labor law is to protect workers against economic insecurity arising from illness, workplace accidents, unemployment, and old age. However, platform workers frequently lack access to these protections because they are not formally recognized as employees [5].

Online ride-hailing drivers, for example, face significant occupational risks associated with traffic accidents, long working hours, and exposure to adverse weather conditions. Yet many workers remain responsible for securing their own insurance coverage and social protection arrangements. Similarly, digital freelancers often experience income volatility, lack of health insurance, and limited access to retirement benefits. The absence of comprehensive social protection mechanisms leaves workers vulnerable to economic shocks and undermines long-term financial security [9].

Another significant concern involves income protection. Unlike conventional employees who are entitled to minimum wage protections, platform workers generally rely on fluctuating market demand and platform-determined compensation structures. Changes in platform algorithms, commission rates, or pricing policies can significantly affect worker earnings without meaningful opportunities for negotiation. This imbalance in bargaining power contributes to labor precarity and economic insecurity [5].

Collective labor rights also remain limited. Traditional labor law recognizes the importance of collective bargaining in addressing power imbalances between workers and employers. However, because platform workers are often classified as independent contractors, they may face legal and practical obstacles when attempting to organize collectively. The absence of effective collective representation mechanisms further weakens workers' ability to influence working conditions and dispute platform policies [9].

DISCUSSIONS

Comparative Legal Approaches and International Standards

Several jurisdictions have adopted innovative approaches to addressing platform work. In the United Kingdom, judicial decisions have recognized certain platform workers as "workers," a category that provides access to fundamental labor protections without requiring full employee status. Germany has similarly expanded legal interpretations of employment relationships to address platform-mediated labor arrangements [6].

The European Union has taken significant steps toward regulating platform work through legislation emphasizing transparency, algorithmic accountability, and employment classification criteria. These reforms recognize that digital technologies can create new forms of labor control requiring corresponding legal protections [10]. International labor standards developed by the International Labour Organization increasingly emphasize the importance of extending labor protections to non-standard forms of employment. These standards advocate access to social protection, fair working conditions, occupational safety, and collective representation regardless of contractual classification. Such principles provide valuable guidance for countries seeking to regulate platform work while preserving labor market flexibility [9].

For Indonesia, comparative experiences suggest that a binary distinction between employees and independent contractors may no longer be sufficient. A hybrid regulatory approach recognizing platform workers as a distinct labor category may provide a more effective solution for balancing flexibility, innovation, and worker protection.

Toward a Comprehensive Regulatory Framework for Platform Workers

The findings indicate that Indonesia's current labor law framework is inadequately equipped to address the realities of platform-mediated work. Existing regulations were designed for industrial-era employment relationships and do not adequately account for algorithmic management, digital dependency, and platform governance structures [8].

Future regulatory reforms should therefore focus on establishing a comprehensive framework specifically addressing platform work. Such a framework should clarify employment status criteria, establish minimum standards of social protection, ensure occupational safety coverage, and promote transparency in algorithmic decision-making. Workers should have access to information regarding how algorithms affect task allocation, remuneration, performance evaluation, and account suspension decisions [8].

In addition, social protection systems should be adapted to accommodate platform workers regardless of formal employment classification. This may include mandatory contributions to health insurance, occupational accident insurance, and retirement programs shared between workers and platform companies. Such measures would enhance worker security while preserving the flexibility that characterizes platform labor [5].

Ultimately, effective regulation should seek to balance competing objectives. Excessively restrictive regulations may discourage innovation and reduce employment opportunities, while insufficient regulation may perpetuate labor exploitation and social inequality. A carefully designed legal framework can support sustainable digital economic growth while ensuring that workers receive adequate protection and legal recognition.

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged when interpreting its findings. First, this research employs a normative juridical approach**,** which primarily focuses on the examination of statutory regulations, legal doctrines, and scholarly literature concerning labor protection in the digital platform economy. As a consequence, the study does not empirically investigate the lived experiences of digital platform workers, such as online ride-hailing drivers, delivery couriers, and freelance digital workers. The findings therefore represent a legal and doctrinal assessment of existing regulations rather than an evaluation of how labor protections are implemented and experienced in practice.

Second, the study relies predominantly on secondary legal materials, including legislation, judicial decisions, academic publications, policy reports, and international guidelines. Although these sources provide a comprehensive understanding of the regulatory landscape, they may not fully capture the practical challenges faced by key stakeholders, including workers, platform companies, labor unions, policymakers, and regulatory authorities. The absence of primary data obtained through interviews, surveys, or focus group discussions may limit the study's ability to reflect the diverse perspectives and realities of platform work.

Third, the digital platform economy is characterized by rapid technological and business model innovations. Platform companies continuously modify their operational systems, contractual arrangements, and algorithmic management practices. Consequently, the regulatory issues identified in this study should be understood within a dynamic and evolving socio-economic environment. Future technological developments and legislative reforms may substantially alter the legal status and protection of platform workers.

Fourth, although this research incorporates a comparative legal approach, the comparative analysis is limited to selected jurisdictions that have introduced specific regulations concerning platform work. Differences in legal traditions, labor market structures, social protection systems, economic conditions, and institutional capacities may affect the applicability of foreign regulatory models within the Indonesian context. Therefore, international best practices should be adapted cautiously to Indonesia's unique socio-legal environment.

Fifth, this study primarily examines platform work from a labor law perspective and does not comprehensively analyze broader economic, sociological, or technological dimensions of the gig economy. Issues such as income volatility, worker well-being, gender disparities, digital exclusion, algorithmic bias, and the economic sustainability of platform business models remain beyond the scope of this research. These aspects may significantly influence workers' welfare and deserve further interdisciplinary investigation.

Sixth, while the study discusses algorithmic management as an emerging challenge in platform work, it does not conduct an in-depth technical examination of platform algorithms, data governance mechanisms, or automated decision-making systems used by digital companies. Given the increasing role of algorithms in determining work allocation, performance evaluation, incentives, and account suspension, future studies should explore these issues more extensively.

Finally, the study does not empirically examine labor disputes, judicial decisions, or enforcement practices specifically involving digital platform workers in Indonesia. Since legal disputes concerning platform labor relationships remain relatively limited and regulatory frameworks continue to evolve, jurisprudential developments may significantly influence future interpretations of employment status, labor rights, and employer responsibilities.

Despite these limitations, this study contributes to the growing body of scholarship on labor regulation in the digital economy by providing a comprehensive legal assessment of Indonesia's existing framework governing platform work. The findings offer important insights into current regulatory deficiencies and highlight the urgent need for legal reform to ensure adequate labor protection, social justice, and legal certainty for workers in the expanding gig economy. Future research is encouraged to adopt empirical and interdisciplinary approaches**,** integrating legal analysis with socio-economic, technological, and policy perspectives to develop a more holistic understanding of digital platform labor in Indonesia.

CONCLUSION

The rapid expansion of platform-based work has transformed labor relations in Indonesia and created new opportunities for economic participation. However, the growth of the gig economy has also exposed significant weaknesses in existing labor law frameworks. The classification of platform workers as independent partners rather than employees has resulted in limited access to labor protections, social security benefits, occupational safety guarantees, and collective bargaining rights.

This study concludes that Indonesia's current legal framework does not adequately address the unique characteristics of platform-mediated work. The emergence of algorithmic management and economic dependency challenges traditional employment classifications and necessitates regulatory innovation. To ensure legal certainty and social justice, Indonesia should develop a dedicated legal framework recognizing platform work as a distinct labor category while guaranteeing fundamental labor rights and social protection standards. Such reforms would not only strengthen worker protection but also contribute to the long-term sustainability of Indonesia's digital economy by fostering trust, fairness, and inclusive economic development.

REFERENCES

[1] Aminuddin NA, Kusumawati ED. The legal protection of digital platform workers in Indonesia: lesson learned from Germany and the United Kingdom. Indones J Law Soc. 2023;4(2):241–266.

[2] Wardhana APK, Rasji R. The legal status of gig economy workers in Indonesia's digital platform industry (2022–2025). Indones J Law Econ Rev. 2026;21(1):1–18.

[3] Lumban Gaol CP, Nugroho BE, Sumarna D, Hafiz A, Rahman I. Analysis of the legal status of gig workers in Indonesia's digital platforms: the urgency of sufficient work regulations as social protection. J Smart Huk. 2026;4(3):224–241.

[4] Rahman ATMM, Sultana S. Digital labor: challenges, ethical insights, and implications. arXiv. 2025:2506.11788.

[5] Izzati NR, Kwang EN. Regulating platform work in Indonesia: exploring legal options for workers' protection. J Ketenagakerjaan. 2025;20(3):1–22.

[6] Setiawan AH, Sunandar FN, Juaeni A, Triestanto J. Analysis of digital employment contracts on gig economy platforms: between flexibility and exploitation. Int J Law Crime Justice. 2025;2(3):45–63.

[7] Rao VN, Dalal S, Agarwal E, Calacci D, Monroy-Hernández A. Rideshare transparency: translating gig worker insights on AI platform design to policy. arXiv. 2024:2406.10768.

[8] De Los Santos M, Do K, Muller M, Savage S. Designing sousveillance tools for gig workers. Proc ACM Hum Comput Interact. 2024.

[9] Wibowo SG, Susanto F. Legal protection for gig workers in the digital economy: a critical review of labor relations in Indonesia. Easta J Law Hum Rights. 2025;3(3):231–240.

[10] Vincent V, Lie G. Legal status and protection of digital platform workers: comparative study of Indonesia and Singapore. Al-Zayn J Soc Law. 2026;4(2):155–173.